

# PROPOSING A LIQUEFACTION TRIGGERING CRITERION FOR LEACHED ORE

Ronald Landa Coila<sup>1</sup> , Gerson Urbina Lira<sup>2</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Civil Engineering, National University of Engineering, Lima, Peru

<sup>2</sup>Civil Engineering Faculty, National University of Engineering, Lima, Peru

Received: 30/08/2021 Accepted: 00/00/0000

## ABSTRACT

The state of the practice in heap leach pads generally assess liquefaction potential assuming a steady-state seepage regime or an overly conservative hydrostatic water table from top to bottom, yet ore heaps are subjected to a chaotic hydrodynamic seepage regime which previously requires deep interpretation of: the small-and large- strain in-situ behavioral characteristics of the leached ore —e.g. shear-wave velocity ( $V_s$ ), state parameter ( $\psi$ ), normalized tip resistance ( $Q_{tn}$ ) and the like—; the saturated and unsaturated hydraulic conductivity values —e.g. soil-water retention curve (SWCC), compressibility ( $m_v$ ) and horizontal hydraulic conductivity ( $K_h$ )—; and the pore pressures in equilibrium ( $u_o$ ) along the entire heap profile, to identify which layers would be effectively prone to liquefaction. This paper proposes a decoupled cross-related criterion for liquefaction triggering of leached ore, based on the soil behavior type index ( $I_c$ ), state parameter ( $\psi$ ), and the cyclic stress ratio (CSR), as a first-screening tool for identification of liquefaction-prone leached ore layers. This criterion attempts to cross correlate the in-situ state, the minimum saturated hydraulic conductivity to induce layer saturation, and the cyclic stress ratio profile for a fixed pair of moment magnitude ( $M_w$ ) and peak ground acceleration, all into a simplified decoupled approach, and thereby comply them all together the minimum conditions for liquefaction triggering of leached ore.

**Keywords:** Soil liquefaction, Leached ore, SCPTu.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bray, J.D., and Sancio, R.B. 2006. Assessment of the liquefaction susceptibility of fine-grained soils. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, **132**(9): 1165–1177.
- [2] Burland, J.B. 1990. On the compressibility and shear strength of natural clays. *Geotechnique*, **40**(3): 329–378.
- [3] Jefferies, M.G., and Davies, M.O. 1991. Soil Classification by the cone penetration test: discussion. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, **28**(1): 173–176.
- [4] Jefferies, M.G. and Been, K., 2006. *Soil Liquefaction - A critical State approach*. Taylor & Francis, ISBN 0-419-16170-8, 478 pages.
- [5] Lunne, T., Robertson, P.K., and Powell, J.J.M. 1997. *Cone penetration testing in geotechnical practice*. Blackie Academic, EF Spon/Routledge Publishing, New York, 312 pp.
- [6] Plewes, H.D., Davies, M.P. and Jefferies, M.G., 1992. CPT based screening procedure for evaluating liquefaction susceptibility. In *Proceedings of the 45th Canadian Geotechnical Conference*, Toronto, Canada.
- [7] Robertson, P.K., 2009. Interpretation of cone penetration tests - a unified approach. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, **46**:1337-1355.
- [8] Robertson, P.K., 2010a. Estimating in-situ soil permeability from CPT & CPTu. 2nd International Symposium on Cone Penetration Testing, Huntington Beach, CA, USA, May 2010.
- [9] Robertson, P.K., 2010b. Evaluation of Flow Liquefaction and Liquefied strength Using the Cone Penetration Test. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, ASCE, **136**(6): 842-853.
- [10] Schneider, J.A., and Moss, R.E.S. 2011. Linking cyclic stress and cyclic strain-based methods for assessment of cyclic liquefaction triggering in sands. *Geotechnique Letters*, Institute of Civil Engineers, U.K.
- [11] Schneider, J.A., Randolph, M.F., Mayne, P.W., and Ramsey, N.R. 2008. Analysis of factors influencing soil classification using normalized piezocone tip resistance and pore pressure parameters. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, ASCE, **134**(11): 1569–1586.
- [12] Schneider, J.A., Hotstream, J.N., Mayne, P.W., and Randolph, M.F. 2012. Comparing CPTU  $Q-F$  and  $Q-\Delta u_2/\sigma'_{vo}$  soil classification charts. *Géotechnique Letters*, **2**(4): 209–215.
- [13] Seed, H. B., and Idriss, I. M., 1971. Simplified procedure for evaluating soil liquefaction potential, *J. Soil Mechanics and Foundations Div.*, ASCE **97**(SM9), 1249-1273.
- [14] Shuttle, D.A. and Cunning, J., 2007. Liquefaction potential of silts from CPTu. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, **44**(1), 1–19.
- [15] Youd, T. L., Idriss, I. M., Andrus, R. D., Arango, I., Castro, G., Christian, J. T., Dobry, R., Finn, W. D. L., Harder, L. F., Hynes, M. E., Ishihara, K., Koester, J. P., Liao, S. S. C., Marcuseon, W. F., Martin, G. R., Mitchell, J. K., Moriwaki, Y., Power, M. S., Robertson, P. K., Seed, R. B., and Stokoe, K. H., 2001. Liquefaction resistance of soils: summary report from the 1996 NCEER and 1998 NCEER/NSF workshops on evaluation of liquefaction resistance of soils, *J. Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Eng.*, ASCE **127**(10), 817–33.